



United States District Court
Central District of California
Office of the Clerk

Kiry K. Gray
District Court Executive / Clerk of Court
350 West 1st Street, Suite 4311
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Jeffrey S. Thomason
Acting Chief Probation and Pretrial Services Officer
255 East Temple Street, Suite 1410
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Cristina M. Squieri Bullock
Chief Deputy of Administration
350 West 1st Street, Suite 4311
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Sara Tse Soo Hoo
Chief Deputy of Operations
255 East Temple Street, Suite TS-134
Los Angeles, CA 90012

August 6, 2021

Jae Hyun Kim
Employee Justice Legal Group LLP
3055 Wilshire Blvd Suite 1120
Los Angeles, CA 90010
Email: jkim@ejlglaw.com

Anthony William Gomez
California Department of Justice
300 South Spring Street Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Email: anthony.gomez@doj.ca.gov

Karina Godoy
Employee Justice Legal Group LLP
3055 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 1120
Los Angeles, CA 90010
Email: kgodoy@ejlglaw.com

Lacey Rainwater
LTL Attorneys LLP
601 S Figueroa Street Suite 3900
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Email: lacey.rainwater@ltlattorneys.com

Kaveh Sam Elihu
Employee Justice Legal Group LLP
3055 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 1120
Los Angeles, CA 90010
Email: kelihu@ejlglaw.com

Steven C Gonzalez
Hua Gallai and Gonzalez LLP
201 North Brand Boulevard Suite 200
Glendale, CA 91203
Email: steve@hgglawyers.com

Anthony David Sbardellati
Constangy, Brooks, Smith & Prophete
2029 Century Park East
Suite 1100
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Email: asbardellati@constangy.com

Re: *Glenn Williams v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. et al.*,
2:16-CV-03075 JAK (PJWx)

Dear Mr. Kim, Ms. Godoy, Mr. Elihu, Mr. Sbardellati, Mr. Gomez, Ms. Rainwater, and Mr. Gonzalez:

I have been contacted by Judge Kronstadt who presided over the above-mentioned case, which is now closed.

Eastern Division
3470 Twelfth Street, Room 134
Riverside, CA 92501

Southern Division
411 West Fourth Street, Suite 1053
Santa Ana, CA 92701

Mr. Kim, Ms. Godoy, Mr. Elihu, Mr. Sbardellati, Mr. Gomez, Ms. Rainwater, and Mr. Gonzalez

August 6, 2021

Page 2 of 2

Judge Kronstadt informed me that it has been recently brought to his attention that, while he presided over the case, he owned stock in Walmart. His ownership of stock neither affected nor impacted his decisions in this case. However, the stock ownership would have required recusal under the Code of Conduct for United States Judges. Therefore, Judge Kronstadt directed that I notify the parties of the conflict.

Advisory Opinion 71, from the Judicial Conference Codes of Conduct Committee, provides the following guidance for addressing disqualification that is not discovered until after a judge has participated in a case:

[A] judge should disclose to the parties the facts bearing on disqualification as soon as those facts are learned, even though that may occur after entry of the decision. The parties may then determine what relief they may seek and a court (without the disqualified judge) will decide the legal consequence, if any, arising from the participation of the disqualified judge in the entered decision.

Although Advisory Opinion 71 contemplated disqualification after oral argument before a Court of Appeals, the Committee explained “[s]imilar considerations would apply when a judgment was entered in a district court by a judge and it is later learned that the judge was disqualified.”

With Advisory Opinion 71 in mind, you are invited to respond to Judge Kronstadt’s disclosure of a conflict in this case, including any request to reopen the case. Should you wish to respond, please submit your response by filing it on the docket on or before September 8, 2021. Any response will be considered by another judge of this District without the participation of Judge Kronstadt.

Sincerely,



Kiry K. Gray

District Court Executive/Clerk of Court